## Minutes

of the Constitutional Meeting of Delegates of the International Association for Analytical Psychology, held in Zurich, September 2nd, 1956, 11.30 a.m.

## Present:

Dr. C.A. Meier, Chairman

Mrs. H. Kirsch, Soc. Los Angeles Mrs. M. Briner (for Dr. V. de Laszlo), Med. Soc. New York Dr. R. Moody (for Dr. Henderson), Soc. North Calif. Dr. Bitter (for Prof. G. Schmaltz), Germany Dr. B. Sommer, Germany Dr. E. Camerling (also for Dr. A. Blits), Holland Dr. E. Bernhard, Italy Mrs. E. Bernhard, Italy Dr. R. Cahen, France Dr. E. Neumann, Israel Mrs. E. Neumann, Israel Dr. H.K. Fierz, SGPP, Switzerland Dr. E. Boller, SGPP, Switzerland Mrs. M. Briner, Graduates of the Institute Dr. L. Frey (for Dr. K.W. Bash), Curatorium	vote s vote s vote s vote s vote s vote e s vote
--	--

Dr. Meier opened the meeting at 11.30 a.m. and explained that the drafted Provisional Constitution to be discussed now by the delegates had been made as general and elastic as possible. Most of the rules and regulations were left to the By-Laws which will be worked out by the future Executive Committee. This Committee should be elected and gather with the old working committee while still in Zurich to discuss the various difficult problems and the recommendations of the working committee.

Dr. Neumann - supported later by Dr. Adler, Mrs. Kirsch and Dr. Bitter - put up the question of the votes alloted to the different delegates, especially with regard to the SGPP and the Graduates of the Institute. As the SGPP is a neutral association, having not only Jungians, the general feeling is that it should not be represented. With reference to the Graduates of the Institute the problem is that they might thus have double representation in the Association as Graduates and as mem-

ber of a local group in the country they will be practising. Dr. Meier replied to these objections that in fact there was no clear line in this list of delegates but it was the result of last year's meeting where all sorts of people interested were present. The SGPP representatives being present didn't mean that the SGPP as a group is accepted and that the participants of this meeting are automatically members of the Association. The whole problem of membership would have to be discussed later and could not be settled by this meeting. Dr. Fierz then confirmed that of course the SGPP was not a Jungian society, however, there was the fact that Prof. Jung is the president of the SGPP and the latter has therefore an interest in the founding of an international association. The President proposed finally to the assembly to put it to a vote whether the delegates of the SGPP should have a vote to-day or not, but nobody wanted this. So, the distribution of the votes for this meeting remained unchanged.

The President wishing then to put the Provisional Constitution, as drafted by the working committee, to the vote, a discussion took place regarding art. 3 b) - individual members - . Several delegates were of the opinion that the restriction i.e. that only in countries where no local professional groups yet exist individual members are accepted, should be left out. Dr. Moody replied that this restriction had to remain - speaking for the San Francisco group he is representing too - he emphasized that this group feels that the standard they have built up would suffer and it would undermine the authority of the group, if individuals could become members of the Association without being first members of the local group. On the other hand, this restriction will encourage the formation of groups. However, there is no doubt, that before a <u>final</u> Constitution is made, special arrangements should be considered.

A discussion concerning the number of delegates each group might have took then place. Dr. Neumann suggested that also groups smaller than 5 members should have an individual representation in the delegates meeting. This opinion was supported by some other delegates. A proposition of Dr. Moody to leave out in art. 6a the proportional representation indicated and to have the rules of proportion stipulated in the By-Laws was accepted with a majority of 23 votes.

Instead the following sentence shall be put in the Provisional Constitution:

"The groups shall be represented in the Delegates Meetings in some degree of proportional representation to their membership".

Dr. Bitter referred then to art. 2 a) and asked some precision about the formulation "those interested in Analytical Psychology". The general feeling was that as a professional association has been decided there is no necessity to make any restriction - a more precise definition of "those interested in Analytical Psychology" will be given in the By-Laws. However, it was agreed upon to put a) at the end of art. 2, i.e. after the actual d).

As a recommendation the Assembly voted unanimously the following:

"It is wished that individual members in countries where no local professional groups yet exist are individually represented in the Delegates Meeting so that individual situations can be taken care of."

After that, the <u>Provisional Constitution was unanimously</u> (with 26 votes) <u>accepted</u>.

The President adjourned the meeting at 12.30 p.m. for the afternoon pointing out that the assembly would have:

- 1) to decide upon the places to be alloted to the various groups
- 2) to elect the members of the future Executive Committee. Of course these members to be elected are subject to the approval of their local bodies. They will act for the moment so that they can receive the recommendations made by the working committee and the Delegates Meeting.

Dr. Meier suggested that those representing officially their bodies should decide before the meeting in the afternoon whom they wished to nominate. Dr. Adler mentioned that also the Chairman of the Executive Committee should be elected and Dr. Meier replied that the new President of the Association would be ex officio Chairman. He himself would under no condition accept any office in the new Association.

Dr. Barker, who had been present as an observer, thanked the President and the Committee for the work they had accomplished and expressed his feeling that it was better to have an organisation being able to work than one which wasn't.

At 3.15 p.m. Dr. Meier opened the afternoon session of the Delegates' Meeting and proposed to allocate places in the future Executive Committee to the various groups. The working committee's suggestion was:

1 1 1	place	to	SAP, London Medic Soc Anal Psych. do. do.	Eastern Western	<b>11</b>	New York San Francisco Los Angeles
1			Switzerland			
1			Individual Members fr	om those	countries	where no

This of course would mean only a preliminary distribution of seats.

group yet exists.

Dr. Neumann made the proposition that each country has its own representative, even without a vote, or half a vote. As a compromise Dr. Adler proposed to give the "Individual Members" two delegates but Dr. Neumann objected that this would not solve the problem, the fact being that the interests of Holland, Israel, Italy, Germany etc. were so different and - as Dr. Camerling added - it would be extremely difficult for the delegates of the individual members to collect and represent the opinions of all the different countries. However, as Dr. Moody emphasized, the future Executive Committee had to be manageable and problems having very much dispute should be put to the Delegates Meeting where each country is represented.

Dr. Adler and Dr. Meier added that the Executive Committee was not meant to take any decisions, these are reserved to the Delegates Meeting. To this Dr. Bernhard objected that the propositions made by the Executive Committee to the Delegates Meeting had already a certain power - he too supported Dr. Neumann's proposition. Dr. Bitter told about the experiences made in Germany where they have a president and vice-president as well as 5 members representing places with Institutes in the committee and that besides they have an enlarged committee including also people from places having not yet an Institute.

Dr. Moody and the Chairman drew then the attention to the fact that the new Constitution is a provisional one permitting for the next years to see where the difficulties lay - a final Constitution could later take care of the encountered problems. As Dr. Neumann insisted to have some security about future information of the small groups, Dr. Riklin proposed that the Delegates Meeting should make a recommendation to the future Executive Committee saying that it designs one of its members which will be responsible for keeping contact with the small groups, enabling them to discuss the various problems which will finally be voted upon only in the Delegates Meeting.

Dr. Neumann declared himself satisfied and the Assembly designed with unanimous vote - as suggested by the working committee - one place each to:

SAP London,
Medical Society New York,
Medical Society San Francisco,
Medical Society Los Angeles,
Switzerland,
Individual Members from those countries where no
group yet exists.

A question of Dr. Adler whether the Executive Committee would have the right to coopte another member if it would be advisable was answered affirmatively by the Chairman.

Before passing to the <u>election</u> of the <u>new President</u> of the <u>Association</u> - which took place by <u>secret ballot</u> - it was discussed whether simple majority or two-thirds majority would be necessary. <u>15 delegates</u> were for <u>simple majority</u>, 6 for a two-thirds majority.

With reference to Dr. Prince's question for how long the new President would be elected, it was decided that this would be until the conclusion of the next ordinary Meeting of Delegates.

As candidates for the post of new President, <u>Dr. Mo6dy</u> was proposed by Dr. Riklin, and <u>Dr. Riklin</u> by Dr. Bernhard. With <u>16 votes against</u> <u>10 votes Dr. Moody was elected President</u> and greeted with applause by the Assembly.

Dr. Moody thanked for the nomination and added that he had great pleasure to take this job and hope to do it well. One of his chief aims would be to continue to improve relationship between London and Zurich. With that many other things would be improved.

Dr. Meier proceeded then to the provisional election of the 6 representatives in the Executive Committee. A controverse started between him and Dr. Adler resp. Dr. Neumann whether it was up to the Delegates Meeting to elect the Vice-President or not. Dr. Meier supported the opinion that the Executive Committee is electing the Vice-President among its members. The general feeling was that Dr. Riklin should be elected Vice-President. However, the procedure proposed by Dr. Meier was accepted and Dr. Riklin was elected unanimously as delegate of the Swiss group to the Executive Committee. After that, Dr. Adler as delegate of SAP London, Dr. de Laszlo as delegate of New York, Dr. Henderson as delegate of San Francisco, Mrs. Hilde Kirsch as delegate of Los Angeles and Dr. Bernhard representing all the Individual Members in countries having no group yet, were elected with unanimity.

Finally the Chairman told the Assembly that the members of the ex-Committee had thought it wise to have the members of the professional bodies and those individuals present from countries where there is not yet a professional body, made Charter Members of the Association, as well as Dr. Bennet and Dr. Meier himself. This would not involve any special rights but just give the Association a few members to begin with. The list of the delegates present was then looked through and the question of Dr. Boller and Dr. Fierz as delegates of the SGPP was taken up once more. It was decided that they would become Charter Members not as delegates of the SGPP but as members of the future Zurich group. Dr. Riklin and Dr. Bash were named Charter Members as representatives of the Curatorium. Dr. Adler mentioned Dr. Aumüller as Charter Member but the Chairman objected that the Referring Analysts she is representing is not a purely professional group. It was also proposed that Prof. Schmaltz as delegate in the first Constitutional Meeting should be Charter Member instead of Dr. Bitter but the latter explained that at last year's meeting Prof. Schmaltz had acted as his proxy.

The following 23 delegates were finally agreed upon to be Charter Members:

Dr. G. Adler, SAP London Dr. R. Moody, SAP London Dr. R.F. Hobson, SAP London Dr. G.S. Prince, SAP London Mrs. H. Kirsch, Soc., Los Angeles Dr. V. de Laszlo, Med. Soc., New York Dr. Henderson, Soc. North Calif. Dr. Bitter, Germany Dr. B. Sommer, Germany Dr. E. Camerling, Holland Dr. A. Blits, Holland Dr. E. Bernhard, Italy Mrs. E. Bernhard, Italy Dr. R. Cahen, France Dr. E. Neumann, Israel Mrs. E. Neumann, Israel Dr. H.K. Fierz, Switzerland Dr. E. Boller, Switzerland Mrs. M. Briner, Graduates of the Institute Dr. K.W. Bash, Curatorium Dr. F. Riklin, Curatorium Dr. C.A. Meier, former President Dr. E.A. Bennet

Mrs. Kirsch put up the question whether the bodies represented by the Charter Members had thus become Charter Members themselves also. In the following discussion - where it was mentioned that these bodies have first to approve the Provisional Constitution - Dr. Meier asked finally whether any delegate of these bodies thought that his group might not accept being a Charter Member. As nobody expressed such a fear, it was decided with 18 voices that also the professional bodies represented by the Charter Members have become Charter Members (with the exception of the SGPP as mentioned already before). Dr. Moody put up once more the question of the Graduates, whether they should be regarded as Charter Member or not. Mrs. Briner, as delegate, informed the Assembly that her group, the Association of Graduate Analytical Psychologists of the C.G. Jung-Institute, was counting 10 analysts, all practising. Three of them are practising in countries where professional bodies already exist (2 in Los Angeles, l in London). She agreed that there should not be double representation and that in such cases the respective member should chose whether it wished to belong to the Graduates or to the professional body of the country in question. However, there were still the other

members scattered in the world. It would be more complicated to have some ranging under "Individuals" than under "Graduates". Besides, the Graduates would soon have about 20 members. Dr. Adler pointed out that the essential thing is that nobody should be twice represented. As long as there is no double-representation, there is no difficulty. Dr. Moody declared himself satisfied with this formulation.

Dr. Bernhard proposed Dr. Jung to be nominated honorary president. As this had already be done in the meeting of last year, it was agreed upon just to inform Dr. Jung of the results of the meeting and thank him for his interest.

Before closing the session, Dr. Meier asked the Charter Members to pay a small contribution to the expenses of the Association - the Institute in Zurich having already had quite a lot of work and expenses. The delegates approved and 10 francs were paid by each. (Total sum collected by Secretary Sw. Fr. 230.-).

At 5.30 p.m. the Chairman, after having thanked the former Committee and the Secretary for the work done, closed the Constitutional Meeting of the new professional Association.

Zurich, October 5, 1956

The Secretary:

allaurer

A. Maurer

The Chairman:

Dr.C.A.Meier